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|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Recognition, Treatment, and Monitoring of Canine Hypovolaemic Shock in First Opinion Practice in the United Kingdom** |
| What are the aims or objectives of the study? |  |
| Who carried out the research? |  |
| Is there a specific research question or hypothesis |  |
| Why do you want to review this paper? |  |
| What methods did the researchers use? |  |
| Is this methodology appropriate to the objectives or question?  Are there any potential sources of bias? |  |
| Is the study design described clearly enough to enable you to follow what was done? |  |
| Are the type of patients or participants clearly described? |  |
| Are these patients or participants, relevant to your practice, if not what differences need to be considered? |  |
| Is the data collected clearly described? |  |
| Are all patients or participants accounted for in the analysis? |  |
| Are the results of the study are clearly described? What could the researchers have done to make the results clearer? |  |
| What are the findings of the study? |  |
| Do you think the results answer the research questions? |  |
| Are the findings likely to be clinically significant? |  |
| Do the findings support or alter your current knowledge? |  |
| Do the findings provide sufficient evidence for you to consider changing your current practice? |  |